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## 1. Introduction

Let $M^{n}$ be an $n$-dimensional closed hypersurface in a unit sphere $S^{n+1}(1)$ of dimension $n+1$ and denote by $S$ the squared norm of the second fundamental form of $M^{n}$. Many metric and topological rigidity theorems about $M^{n}$ have been obtained. Simons [19], Chern

[^0]et al. [10] and Lawson [11] proved that if $M^{n}$ is minimal and if $S \leq n$ then $M^{n}$ is either totally geodesic or a Clifford minimal hypersurface. Further results about rigidity of minimal hypersurfaces in $S^{n+1}(1)$ can be found, e.g., in [15-17], etc. As a natural generalization, the rigidity phenomenon for hypersurfaces in $S^{n+1}(1)$ with constant mean curvature has also been studied. It has been proven by Nomizu and Smyth [13] that if $M^{n}$ has constant mean curvature and non-negative sectional curvature then $M^{n}$ is either totally umbilic or a Riemannian product $S^{k}\left(c_{1}\right) \times S^{n-k}\left(c_{2}\right), 1 \leq k \leq n-1$, where $S^{k}(c)$ denotes the sphere of radius $c$. Alencar and do Carmo [2] have shown that if $M^{n}$ has constant mean curvature $H$ and if $S \leq n H^{2}+C(H, n)$, where $C(H, n)$ is a constant that depends only on $H$ and $n$, then $M^{n}$ is either totally umbilic or a Riemannian product $S^{1}\left(\sqrt{1-c^{2}}\right) \times S^{n-1}(c)$ with $c^{2} \leq(n-1 / n)$. On the other hand, some rigidity theorems for hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature have been proven. It has been shown by Cheng and Yau that if $M^{n}$ has nonnegative sectional curvature and constant scalar curvature $n(n-1) r$ with $r \geq 1$, then $M^{n}$ is isometric to either a totally umbilic hypersurface or a Riemannian product $S^{k}\left(c_{1}\right) \times$ $S^{n-k}\left(c_{2}\right), 1 \leq k \leq n-1$ [9]. Li [12] proved that if $M^{n}$ has constant scalar curvature $n(n-1) r$ with $r \geq 1$ and if $S \leq(n-1)(n(r-1)+2) /(n-2)+(n-2) /(n(r-1)+2)$, then $M^{n}$ is isometric to either a totally umbilic hypersurface or the Riemannian product $S^{1}\left(\sqrt{1-c^{2}}\right) \times S^{n-1}(c)$ with $c^{2} \leq(n-2) /(n r)$. Recently, Alencar et al. [3] obtained a gap theorem for closed hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature $n(n-1)$ in a unit sphere.

In this paper, we prove a rigidity theorem for the Riemannian product $S^{1}\left(\sqrt{1-c^{2}}\right) \times$ $S^{n-1}(c)$ with $c^{2} \leq(n-1 / n)$ without the constancy condition on the mean curvature or the scalar curvature. Namely, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. Let $M^{n}$ be an n-dimensional closed hypersurface in $S^{n+1}(1)$. Denote by $S$ and $H$ the squared norm of the second fundamental form and the mean curvature of $M^{n}$, respectively. Assume that the fundamental group $\pi_{1}\left(M^{n}\right)$ of $M^{n}$ is infinite and that $S \leq S(n, H)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(n, H)=n+\frac{n^{3} H^{2}}{2(n-1)}-\frac{n(n-2)|H|}{2(n-1)} \sqrt{n^{2} H^{2}+4(n-1)} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $S$ is constant, $S=S(n, H)$ and $M$ is isometric to a Riemannian product $S^{1}\left(\sqrt{1-c^{2}}\right) \times$ $S^{n-1}(c)$ with $c^{2} \leq(n-1) / n$.

Montiel and Ros [14] have proven that an embedded closed hypersurface in a half-sphere with constant $r$-mean curvature for some $r \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, is a totally umbilical hypersphere. In the second part of this paper, we give another characterization for the totally umbilical hypersphere.

Theorem 1.2. Let $M^{n}$ be an $n$-dimensional closed orientable hypersurface in $S^{n+1}(1)$. Assume that the squared norm $S$ of the second fundamental form of $M^{n}$ is constant and that one of the following conditions holds:
(a) The mean curvature $H$ of $M^{n}$ satisfies $H^{2} \geq\left\{(n-1) / n^{2}\right\} S$ on $M^{n}$.
(b) The Ricci curvatures of $M^{n}$ are bounded from below by $n-1$.

Then $M^{n}$ is a totally umbilical hypersphere.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $M^{n}$ be an $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold. We choose a local frame of orthonormal vector fields $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ on $M^{n}$ and let $\left\{\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{n}\right\}$ be the dual coframe. The connection forms $\left\{\omega_{i j}\right\}$ of $M^{n}$ are characterized by the structure equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d} \omega_{i} & =\sum_{j} \omega_{i j} \wedge \omega_{j}, \omega_{i j}+\omega_{j i}=0  \tag{2.1}\\
\mathrm{~d} \omega_{i j} & =\sum_{k} \omega_{i k} \wedge \omega_{k j}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k, l} R_{i j k l} \omega_{k} \wedge \omega_{l} \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $R_{i j k l}$ are the components of the curvature tensor of $M^{n}$.
For any $C^{2}$-function $f$ defined on $M^{n}$, we define its gradient and Hessian by the following formulas

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{d} f=\sum_{i} f_{i} \omega_{i},  \tag{2.3}\\
& \sum_{j} f_{i j} \omega_{j}=\mathrm{d} f_{i}+\sum_{j} f_{j} \omega_{j i} . \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

The Laplacian of $f$ is given by $\Delta f=\sum_{i} f_{i i}$. Let $\phi=\sum_{i, j} \phi_{i j} \omega_{i} \otimes \omega_{j}$ be a symmetric tensor defined on $M^{n}$. The covariant derivative of $\phi$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k} \phi_{i j k} \omega_{k}=\mathrm{d} \phi_{i j}+\sum_{k} \phi_{k j} \omega_{k i}+\sum_{k} \phi_{i k} \omega_{k j} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $|\phi|^{2}=\sum_{i, j} \phi_{i j}^{2}$ and $\operatorname{tr} \phi=\sum_{i} \phi_{i i}$. Choose a frame field $\left\{\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{n}\right\}$ so that $\phi_{i j}=\lambda_{i} \delta_{i j}$. If $\phi$ satisfies the "Codazzi equation"

$$
\phi_{i j k}=\phi_{i k j}
$$

then we have [9]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta|\phi|^{2}=\sum_{i, j, k} \phi_{i j k}^{2}+\sum_{i} \lambda_{i}(\operatorname{tr} \phi)_{i i}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j} R_{i j i j}\left(\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}\right)^{2} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Weyl curvature tensor $W=\left(W_{i j k l}\right)$ of $M^{n}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
W_{i j k l}= & R_{i j k l}-\frac{1}{n-2}\left(R_{i k} \delta_{j l}-R_{i l} \delta_{j k}+R_{j l} \delta_{i k}-R_{j k} \delta_{i l}\right) \\
& +\frac{R}{(n-1)(n-2)}\left(\delta_{i k} \delta_{j l}-\delta_{i l} \delta_{j k}\right), \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $R_{i j}$ and $R$ are the components of Ricci curvature tensor and the scalar curvature of $M^{n}$, respectively. The Beck tensor $B=\left(B_{i j k}\right)$ of $M^{n}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{i j k}=\frac{1}{n-2}\left(R_{i j k}-R_{i k j}\right)-\frac{1}{2(n-1)(n-2)}\left(\delta_{i j} R_{k}-\delta_{i k} R_{j}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{i j k}$ are the components of the covariant derivative of the Ricci curvature tensor of $M^{n}$ and $R_{k}=e_{k} R$.

The following fact is needed in the proof of Theorem 1.1.
Lemma 2.1. [1] If the Ricci curvature of a compact Riemannina manifold is non-negative and positive at a point, then the manifold carries a metric of positive Ricci curvature.
$M^{n}$ is said to be locally conformally flat if, for each $x \in M^{n}$, there exists a conformal diffeomorphism of a neighborhood of $x$ onto an open set of the Euclidean $n$-space $R^{n}$. When $n \geq 4, M^{n}$ is locally conformally flat if and only if $W_{i j k l}=0$, and that $B_{i j k l}=0$ on $M^{n}$ in this case. When $n=3$, we always have $W_{i j k l}=0$ on $M^{3}$ and $M^{3}$ is a locally conformally flat Riemannian manifold if and only if $B_{i j k}=0$. Thus, if $M^{n}$ is a locally conformally flat Riemannian manifold, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i j k l}=\frac{1}{n-2}\left(R_{i k} \delta_{j l}-R_{i l} \delta_{j k}+R_{j l} \delta_{i k}-R_{j k} \delta_{i l}\right)-\frac{R}{(n-1)(n-2)}\left(\delta_{i k} \delta_{j l}-\delta_{i l} \delta_{j k}\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i j k}-\frac{1}{2(n-1)} \delta_{i j} R_{k}=R_{i k j}-\frac{1}{2(n-1)} \delta_{i k} R_{j} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $M^{n}$ be a hypersurface in a unit $(n+1)$-dimensional sphere $S^{n+1}(1)$ and denote by $h=\sum_{i, j} h_{i j} \omega_{i} \otimes \omega_{j}$ the second fundamental form of $M^{n}$. The squared norm $S$ of $h$ and the mean curvature $H$ of $M^{n}$ are given by

$$
S=\sum_{i, j} h_{i j}^{2}, \quad n H=\sum_{i} h_{i i}
$$

respectively. The Gauss equation of $M^{n}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i j k l}=\delta_{i k} \delta_{j l}-\delta_{i l} \delta_{j k}+\left(h_{i k} h_{j l}-h_{i l} h_{j k}\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature $R$ of $M^{n}$ are then given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{i j}=(n-1) \delta_{i j}+n H h_{i j}-\sum_{k} h_{i k} h_{k j},  \tag{2.12}\\
& R=n(n-1)+n^{2} H^{2}-S, \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively. The covariant derivative $h_{i j k}$ of $h$ satisfies $h_{i j k}=h_{i k j}$. The eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$ of $\left(h_{i j}\right)$ are the principal curvatures of $M^{n}$.

## 3. Proofs of the results

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Assume that $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ diagonalizes the second fundamental form of $M^{n}$ so that $\sum_{i, j} h_{i j} \omega_{i} \otimes \omega_{j}=\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} \omega_{i} \otimes \omega_{i}$. In this case, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{i j k l}=\left(1+\lambda_{i} \lambda_{j}\right)\left(\delta_{i k} \delta_{j l}-\delta_{i l} \delta_{j k}\right)  \tag{3.1}\\
& R_{i j}=0, i \neq j, \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i i}=n-1+n H \lambda_{i}-\lambda_{i}^{2}, i=1, \ldots, n \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any fixed $j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, since

$$
\left(n H-\lambda_{j}\right)^{2}=\left(\sum_{j \neq k} \lambda_{k}\right)^{2} \leq(n-1) \sum_{k \neq j} \lambda_{k}^{2}=(n-1)\left(S-\lambda_{j}^{2}\right)
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{2} H^{2}-(n-1) S+n \lambda_{j}^{2}-2 n H \lambda_{j} \leq 0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see from

$$
\sum_{i}\left(\lambda_{i}-H\right)=0, \sum_{i}\left(\lambda_{i}-H\right)^{2}=S-n H^{2}
$$

that

$$
\left(\lambda_{j}-H\right)^{2} \leq \frac{n-1}{n}\left(S-n H^{2}\right),
$$

which, combining with (3.4), implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \geq n\left(\lambda_{j}^{2}-n H \lambda_{j}\right)+(n-2) n\left(\lambda_{j}-H\right) H+2(n-1) n H^{2}-(n-1) S \\
& \left.\geq n\left(\lambda_{j}^{2}-n H \lambda_{j}\right)-(n-2) n|H| \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n}\left(S-n H^{2}\right.}\right)+2(n-1) n H^{2}-(n-1) S \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

It then follows from (3.3) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{j j} & \geq(n-1)-(n-2)|H| \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n}\left(S-n H^{2}\right)}+2(n-1) H^{2}-\frac{(n-1)}{n} S \\
& =\frac{n-1}{n}\left(n+2 n H^{2}-S-(n-2)|H| \cdot \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-1}} \cdot \sqrt{S-n H^{2}}\right) \\
& =\frac{n-1}{n}\left(\sqrt{S-n H^{2}}+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-1}}\left((n-2)|H|+\sqrt{n^{2} H^{2}+4(n-1)}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\times\left(-\sqrt{S-n H^{2}}+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-1}}\left(-(n-2)|H|+\sqrt{n^{2} H^{2}+4(n-1)}\right)\right) . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that our condition $S \leq S(n, H)$ is equivalent to

$$
S-n H^{2} \leq\left(\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-1}}\left(-(n-2)|H|+\sqrt{n^{2} H^{2}+4(n-1)}\right)\right)^{2}
$$

that is

$$
-\sqrt{S-n H^{2}}+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-1}}\left(-(n-2)|H|+\sqrt{n^{2} H^{2}+4(n-1)}\right) \geq 0
$$

Thus

$$
R_{j j} \geq 0, \forall j
$$

which, combining with (3.2), implies that $M^{n}$ has non-negative Ricci curvature. Since our $M^{n}$ has infinite fundamental group, we conclude from Bonnet-Myer's theorem [7] and Lemma 2.1 that $\forall p \in M^{n}$, there exists a unit vector $u \in T_{p} M^{n}$, such that the Ricci curvature Ric of $M^{n} \operatorname{satisfies} \operatorname{Ric}(u, u)=0$. Note that Ric attains its maximum and minimum in the principal directions. We can assume without loss of generality that at any fixed point $x \in M^{n}, R_{n n}=0$. Thus, when $n=j$, at the point $x$, the inequality (3.5) should be an equality and $S(x)=S(n, H)(x)$, which in turn implies that when $n=j$, the above inequalities should take equality sign at $x$. Consequently, we know that $\lambda_{1}(x)=\cdots=$ $\lambda_{n-1}(x)$. Since $x \in M^{n}$ is arbitrary, one then deduces that our $M^{n}$ has at most two distinct principal curvatures and, when $M^{n}$ has exactly two distinct principal curvatures, one of these two distinct principal curvatures should be simple. Let us assume that $\lambda_{1}=\cdots=\lambda_{n-1}=\lambda$ and $\lambda_{n}=\mu$ on $M^{n}$. Since $R_{i i} \geq 0$ and one of $R_{11}, \ldots, R_{n n}$ is zero, we deduce from (3.3) that $1+\lambda \mu=0$. Therefore, we conclude that $M^{n}$ has exactly two distinct principal curvatures one of which is simple and $S=S(n, H)$ holds on $M$. We want to show that $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are constant functions on $M$. Notice that our $M^{n}$ is a closed manifold with non-negative Ricci curvature. It is well-known that [18, p. 220] the Riemannian universal covering space $\tilde{M}^{n}$ of $M^{n}$ can be decomposed as $\bar{M}^{n-s} \times R^{s}$ for some $s \in\{0,1, \ldots, n\}$, where $\bar{M}^{n-s}$ is a closed simply connected $(n-s)$-dimensional Riemannina manifold with non-negative Ricci curvature and $R^{s}$ is the $s$-dimensional Euclidean space with its standard flat metric. The infinity of $\pi_{1}\left(M^{n}\right)$ implies that $\bar{M}^{n-s} \times R^{s}$ is non-compact and so we have $s \geq 1$. Since $\lambda_{1}=\cdots=\lambda_{n-1}=\lambda, \lambda_{n}=\mu$ and $1+\lambda \mu=0$ on $M^{n}$, we know from (3.1) that if $u=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} e_{i}, v=\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j} e_{j} \in T_{p} M^{n}$ with $|u|=|v|=1,\langle u, v\rangle=0$, then the sectional curvature $K(u \wedge v)$ of the plane spanned by $u$ and $v$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
K(u \wedge v) & =\sum_{i, j, k, l} a_{i} b_{j} a_{k} b_{l} R_{i j k l}=\sum_{i, j, k, l} a_{i} b_{j} a_{k} b_{l}\left(1+\lambda_{i} \lambda_{j}\right)\left(\delta_{i k} \delta_{j l}-\delta_{i l} \delta_{j k}\right) \\
& =1+\left(\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} a_{i}^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{j} \lambda_{j} b_{j}^{2}\right)-\left(\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} a_{i} b_{i}\right)^{2} \\
& =1+\left(\lambda\left(1-a_{n}^{2}\right)+\mu a_{n}^{2}\right)\left(\lambda\left(1-b_{n}^{2}\right)+\mu b_{n}^{2}\right)-\left(\lambda\left(-a_{n} b_{n}\right)+\mu a_{n} b_{n}\right)^{2} \\
& =\left(1+\lambda^{2}\right)\left(1-a_{n}^{2}-b_{n}^{2}\right) . \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 3.1. $A$ vector $u \in T_{p} M^{n}$ with $|u|=1$ satisfies the following condition
$(*)$ if $v \in T_{p} M^{n}$ with $\langle u, v\rangle=0,|v|=1$, then $K(u \wedge v)=0$,
if and only if $u= \pm e_{n}(p)$.
Proof of Lemma 3.1. If $u= \pm e_{n}(p)$ and $v$ satisfies $|v|=1,\langle u, v\rangle=0$, then we can write

$$
v=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{i} e_{i}(p), \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{i}^{2}=1
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
K(u \wedge v) & =\sum_{i, j} a_{i} a_{j} R_{n i n j}=\sum_{i, j} a_{i} a_{j}\left(1+\lambda_{n} \lambda_{i}\right)\left(\delta_{n n} \delta_{i j}-\delta_{n i} \delta_{n j}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i}\left(1+\mu \lambda_{i}\right) a_{i}^{2}-a_{n}^{2}\left(1+\mu^{2}\right)=0 . \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, if $(*)$ is satisfied and suppose by contradiction that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=a e_{n}(p)+w, \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\langle w, e_{n}(p)\right\rangle=0, w \neq 0$. Let $w=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} c_{i} e_{i}(p)$ and take a vector $z=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d_{i} e_{i}(p) \in$ $T_{p} M^{n}$ satisfying $|z|=1,\langle z, w\rangle=0$. Then $\langle z, u\rangle=0$ and so we have from (3.7) that

$$
K(u \wedge z)=\left(1+\lambda^{2}\right)\left(1-a^{2}\right)=\left(1+\lambda^{2}\right)|w|^{2}>0
$$

which contradicts to $(*)$. Thus $u$ is parallel to $e_{n}(p)$, since $|u|=1$, we know that $u= \pm e_{n}(p)$. Lemma 3.1 is proved.

Let us go on the proof of Theorem 1.1. Since $M$ and $\bar{M}^{n-s} \times R^{s}$ are locally isometric, it follows from Lemma 3.1 that $s=1$. We claim that $\bar{M}^{n-1}$ has constant sectional curvature and so is isometric to a Euclidean $(n-1)$-sphere. In order to see this, let us assume first that $n \geq 4$. Let $\pi: \bar{M}^{n-1} \times R \rightarrow M$ be the natural projection; then $\pi$ is a local isometry. For any $x \in \bar{M}^{n-1}$, take an orthonormal base $\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n-1}\right\}$ of $T_{x} \bar{M}^{n-1}$. Since $T_{(x, 0)}\left(\bar{M}^{n-1} \times R\right)=T_{x} \bar{M}^{n-1} \times T_{0} R$, we know that $\left\{\left(f_{1}, 0\right), \ldots,\left(f_{n-1}, 0\right),(\mathbf{0}, 1)\right\}$
is an orthonormal base of $T_{(x, 0)}\left(\bar{M}^{n-1} \times R\right)$, where $\mathbf{0}$ is the zero-vector of $T_{x} \bar{M}^{n-1}$. Observe that for any $v \in T_{(x, 0)}\left(\bar{M}^{n-1} \times R\right)$ with $\langle v,(\mathbf{0}, 1)\rangle=0,|v|=1$, it holds $\tilde{K}((\mathbf{0}, 1) \wedge v)=0$, where $\tilde{K}$ denotes the sectional curvature of $\bar{M}^{n-1} \times R$. It follows that $K\left(\mathrm{~d} \pi_{(x, 0)}((\mathbf{0}, 1)) \wedge z\right)=0, \forall z \in T_{\pi(x, 0)} M^{n}$ with $|z|=1$ and $\left.\left\langle\mathrm{d} \pi_{(x, 0)}(\mathbf{0}, 1)\right), z\right\rangle=0$. Thus we know from Lemma 3.1 that $\mathrm{d} \pi_{(x, 0)}((\mathbf{0}, 1))= \pm e_{n}(y)$ and so for any $j=1, \ldots, n-1$, $\mathrm{d} \pi_{(x, 0)}\left(\left(f_{j}, 0\right)\right) \in \operatorname{span}\left\{e_{1}(y), \ldots, e_{n-1}(y)\right\}$, where $y=\pi(x, 0)$. Hence, $\tilde{K}\left(\left(f_{i}, 0\right) \wedge\right.$ $\left.\left(f_{j}, 0\right)\right)=K\left(\mathrm{~d} \pi_{(x, 0)}\left(\left(f_{i}, 0\right)\right) \wedge \mathrm{d} \pi_{(x, 0)}\left(\left(f_{j}, 0\right)\right)\right)=1+\lambda^{2}(y), \quad i \neq j \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$, which shows that for any $x \in \bar{M}^{n-1}$ and any two-dimensional plane $P \subset T_{x} \bar{M}^{n-1}$, the sectional curvature $\bar{K}(P)$ of $\bar{M}^{n-1}$ on $P$ must satisfy $\bar{K}(P)=\tilde{K}(P)=g(x)>0$, where $g(x)=1+\lambda^{2}(\pi(x, 0))$ is a function on $\bar{M}^{n-1}$. Thus, since $\operatorname{dim}\left(\bar{M}^{n-1}\right) \geq 3$, we know from the well-known Schur Lemma [4, p. 106] that $\bar{M}^{n-1}$ has constant sectional curvature.

Consider now the case that $n=3$. Let us first show that (2.10) is satisfied and so $M^{3}$ is a locally conformally flat manifold. In fact, since $n=3$, we get by taking the covariant derivatives of (2.12) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i j k}=3 H_{k} h_{i j}+3 H h_{i j k}-\sum_{l}\left(h_{i l k} h_{l j}+h_{i l} h_{l j k}\right) . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, one has from (2.13) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{k}=18 H H_{k}-S_{k} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{i j k}= & R_{i j k}-R_{i k j}-\frac{1}{4}\left(\delta_{i j} R_{k}-\delta_{i k} R_{j}\right) \\
= & 3 H_{k} h_{i j}-3 H_{j} h_{i k}+\sum_{l}\left(h_{i l j} h_{l k}-h_{i l k} h_{l j}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{4}\left(\delta_{i j}\left(18 H H_{k}-S_{k}\right)-\delta_{i k}\left(18 H H_{j}-S_{j}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From $h_{i j}=\lambda_{i} \delta_{i j}$, we have

$$
S_{k}=2 \sum_{l, m} h_{l m} h_{l m k}=2 \sum_{l} \lambda_{l} h_{l l k}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{i j k} & =3 H_{k}\left(\lambda_{i}-\frac{3}{2} H\right) \delta_{i j}-3 H_{j}\left(\lambda_{i}-\frac{3}{2} H\right) \delta_{i k}+h_{i k j}\left(\lambda_{k}-\lambda_{j}\right)+\frac{1}{4}\left(\delta_{i j} S_{k}-\delta_{i k} S_{j}\right) \\
& =3\left(H_{k} \delta_{i j}-H_{j} \delta_{i k}\right)\left(\lambda_{i}-\frac{3}{2} H\right)+h_{i k j}\left(\lambda_{k}-\lambda_{j}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l}\left(\delta_{i j} h_{l l k}-\delta_{i k} h_{l l j}\right) \lambda_{l} \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking $h_{l m}=\lambda_{l} \delta_{l m}$ in the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} h_{i j}+\sum_{l}\left(h_{l j} \omega_{l i}+h_{i l} \omega_{l j}\right)=\sum_{l} h_{i j l} \omega_{l}, \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

one easily gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i j k}=\delta_{i j} e_{k} \lambda_{i}+\left(\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}\right) \omega_{i j}\left(e_{k}\right) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i i k}=e_{k} \lambda_{i} . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $h_{i j k}=h_{i k j}$, we know from (3.14) that if $i, j, k$ are all distinct, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}\right) \omega_{i j}\left(e_{k}\right)=\left(\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{k}\right) \omega_{i k}\left(e_{j}\right) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=\lambda, \lambda_{3}=\mu$, we conclude that when $i, j, k$ are all distinct

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{i j k}=\left(\lambda_{k}-\lambda_{j}\right) h_{i k j}=\left(\lambda_{k}-\lambda_{j}\right)\left(\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{k}\right) \omega_{i k}\left(e_{j}\right)=\left(\lambda_{k}-\lambda_{j}\right)\left(\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}\right) \omega_{i j}\left(e_{k}\right)=0 \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is trivial to see from (3.12) that $B_{i i i}=0$. Let $A$ be the Weingarten operator defined by the second fundamental form, that is, for any $p \in M$ and all $X, Y \in T_{p} M, A: T_{p} M \rightarrow T_{p} M$, $\langle A X, Y\rangle=h(X, Y)$. Set

$$
\mathcal{D}_{p}(\lambda)=\left\{X \in T_{p} M: A X=\lambda_{p} X\right\}
$$

and let $\mathcal{D}(\lambda)$ be the assignment of $\mathcal{D}_{p}(\lambda)$ to each point $p \in M$. Since the multiplicity of the principal curvature $\lambda$ is greater than one, it follows from [15] that $\mathcal{D}(\lambda)$ is a completely integrable distribution on $M$ and that $\lambda$ is constant on each leaf of $\mathcal{D}(\lambda)$. Thus we have $e_{1} \lambda=e_{2} \lambda=0$. If $i \neq k$, we have from (3.12) and (3.15) that

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{i i k} & =3 H_{k}\left(\lambda_{i}-\frac{3}{2} H\right)+h_{i i k}\left(\lambda_{k}-\lambda_{i}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l} h_{l l k} \lambda_{l} \\
& =\left(2 e_{k} \lambda+e_{k} \mu\right)\left(\lambda_{i}-\lambda-\frac{1}{2} \mu\right)+\left(e_{k} \lambda_{i}\right)\left(\lambda_{k}-\lambda_{i}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l} h_{l l k} \lambda_{l} . \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{113}=B_{223}=\left(2 e_{3} \lambda+e_{3} \mu\right)\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mu\right)+\left(e_{3} \lambda\right)(\mu-\lambda)+\left(e_{3} \lambda\right) \lambda+\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{3} \mu\right) \mu=0 \\
& B_{331}=e_{1} \mu\left(\frac{\mu}{2}-\lambda\right)+\left(e_{1} \mu\right)(\lambda-\mu)+\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{1} \mu\right) \mu=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
B_{332}=0, B_{i k k}=B_{i k i}=0, i \neq k
$$

Therefore, $M^{3}$ is locally conformally flat and so $\tilde{M}^{3}$ is also locally conformally flat. Recall that $\tilde{M}^{3}=\bar{M}^{2} \times R$, where $\bar{M}^{2}$ has non-negative Gaussian curvature $\kappa$. We shall use the same notations $R_{i j k l}$ and $R_{i j}$, etc. to denote the components of the curvature tensor and the Ricci curvature tensor, etc. of $\tilde{M}^{3}$, respectively. Take an orthonormal local frame $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right\}$ of $\tilde{M}^{3}$ such that $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are tangent to $\bar{M}^{2}$. Since $\tilde{M}^{3}$ is a product, one can see easily that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{11}=R_{22}=R_{1212}+R_{1313}=R_{1212}=\kappa \\
& R_{12}=R_{13}=R_{23}=R_{33}=0, \quad R=2 \kappa
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $i=j=1, k=2$ in the equality (2.10), we get

$$
R_{112}-R_{121}=\frac{1}{4} R_{2}
$$

From the definition, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{121}=\left(\mathrm{d} R_{12}\right)\left(v_{1}\right)+\sum_{l=1}^{3} R_{l 2} \omega_{l 1}\left(v_{1}\right)+\sum_{l=1}^{3} R_{1 l} \omega_{l 2}\left(v_{1}\right)=0 \\
& R_{112}=\left(\mathrm{d} R_{11}\right)\left(v_{2}\right)+\sum_{l=1}^{3} R_{l 1} \omega_{l 1}\left(v_{2}\right)+\sum_{l=1}^{3} R_{l 2} \omega_{l 2}\left(v_{2}\right)=v_{2} R_{11}=v_{2} \kappa .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
v_{2} \kappa=\frac{1}{2} v_{2} \kappa
$$

and so

$$
v_{2} \kappa=0
$$

Similarly, we have $v_{1} \kappa=0$. Thus $\kappa$ is a constant function. Hence, for any $n \geq 3, \bar{M}^{n-1}$ is a Euclidean sphere. Consequently our $M^{n}$ has constant scalar curvature. But the scalar curvature of $M^{n}$ is given by

$$
r=\sum_{i} R_{i i}=(n-1)(n-2)\left(1+\lambda^{2}\right) .
$$

Hence $\lambda$ is a constant and so $\mu=-1 / \lambda$ is also constant. That is, $M^{n}$ is an isoparametric hypersurface in $S^{n+1}(1)$ with two distinct principal curvatures one of which is simple. From the work of Cartan [6], we conclude that $M^{n}=S^{1}\left(\sqrt{1-c^{2}}\right) \times S^{n-1}(c)$ for some $c \in(0,1)$. Since the principal curvatures of $S^{1}\left(\sqrt{1-c^{2}}\right) \times S^{n-1}(c)$ are $\lambda_{1}=\cdots=\lambda_{n-1}=$
$\sqrt{1 /\left(c^{2}-1\right)}, \lambda_{n}=-\sqrt{c^{2} /\left(1-c^{2}\right)}$ and $S=S(n, H)$, we know that $c^{2} \leq(n-1 / n)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Before proving Theorem 1.2, we recall an algebraic fact.
Lemma 3.2. [8] Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be $n(\geq) 2$ real numbers satisfying the inequality $\left(\sum_{i} x_{i}\right)^{2} \geq$ $(n-1) \sum_{i} x_{i}^{2}$. Then for any distinct $i, j, 1 \leq i<j \leq n$, we have $x_{i} x_{j} \geq 0$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $h=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} h_{i j} \omega_{i} \otimes \omega_{j}$ be the second fundamental form of $M^{n}$ and assume that $h_{i j}=\lambda_{i} \delta_{i j}$, where $\lambda_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$ are the principal curvatures of $M^{n}$. It follows from the Gauss equation that for any $i \neq j$

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i j i j}=1+\lambda_{i} \lambda_{j}, \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $|h|^{2}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} h_{i j}^{2}=\sum_{i=1} \lambda_{i}^{2}$ and $\operatorname{tr} h=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}$. Since $|h|^{2}$ is constant, we have from (2.6) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\sum_{i, j, k=1}^{n} h_{i j k}^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}(\operatorname{tr} h)_{i i}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} R_{i j i j}\left(\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}\right)^{2} . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider first the case that $H^{2} \geq\left\{(n-1) / n^{2}\right\} S$. In this case, we know from Lemma 2.1 that $\lambda_{i} \lambda_{j} \geq 0, \forall i \neq j$. Thus one finds from (3.19) that the sectional curvatures of $M^{n}$ are bounded from below by 1 . It then follows from Theorem 1.1 in [5] that either $M^{n}$ is totally geodesic or $M^{n}$ is the boundary of a convex body in an open half-sphere, which implies that the second fundamental form of $M^{n}$ is always semi-positive definite if we choose the unit normal vector field of $M^{n}$ properly. Therefore, we can assume that $\lambda_{i} \geq 0$ on $M^{n}$, $\forall i=1, \ldots, n$.

Take a point $p \in M^{n}$ such that

$$
(\operatorname{tr} h)(p)=\min _{x \in M^{n}}(\operatorname{tr} h)(x) .
$$

Then we have from the maximal principle that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\operatorname{tr} h)_{i i}(p) \geq 0, i=1, \ldots, n \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, combining with (3.20), gives

$$
0 \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j}\left(\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}\right)^{2}(p)
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}(p)=\cdots=\lambda_{n}(p) \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now for any $q \in M^{n}$, we have from $S(q)=S(p)$ and (3.22) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i, j}\left(\lambda_{i}(q)-\lambda_{j}(q)\right)^{2} & =2 n \sum_{i}\left(\lambda_{i}(q)\right)^{2}-2\left(\sum_{i}^{n} \lambda_{i}(q)\right)^{2} \\
& \leq 2 n \sum_{i}^{n}\left(\lambda_{i}(p)\right)^{2}-2\left(\sum_{i}^{n} \lambda_{i}(p)\right)^{2}=0 . \tag{3.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus $M^{n}$ is totally umbilical. Assume now that the Ricci curvature of $M^{n}$ is bounded from below by $n-1$. Then we have from Gauss equation that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}\right) \lambda_{j}-\lambda_{j}^{2} \geq 0, j=1, \ldots, n, \text { on } M^{n} . \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose without loss of generality that $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{n}$. We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{i} \lambda_{j} \geq 0, \forall 1 \leq i, j \leq n, \text { on } M^{n}, \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, combining with the above arguments above, will imply that $M^{n}$ is totally umbilical. Let us verify that for any $p \in M^{n}$, there holds either

$$
\lambda_{i}(p) \geq 0, i=1, \ldots, n,
$$

or

$$
\lambda_{i}(p) \leq 0, i=1, \ldots, n,
$$

and so (3.25) holds. We shall prove this fact by contradiction. Thus suppose that there exists a $q \in M^{n}$ such that

$$
\lambda_{1}(q)>0 \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda_{n}(q)<0 .
$$

If $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}(q) \geq 0$, then we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_{i}(q) \geq-\lambda_{n}(q)>0
$$

Thus

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}(q)\right) \lambda_{n}(q)-\lambda_{n}^{2}(q)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_{i}(q)\right) \lambda_{n}(q)<0
$$

which contradicts to (3.24). On the other hand, if $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}(q)<0$, then

$$
\sum_{i=2}^{n} \lambda_{i}(q)<-\lambda_{1}(q)<0
$$

which gives

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}(q)\right) \lambda_{1}(q)-\lambda_{1}^{2}(q)=\left(\sum_{i=2}^{n} \lambda_{i}(q)\right) \lambda_{1}(q)<0
$$

contradicting to (3.24) again. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.
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